

bill like that, there would be constitutional difficulties because when you deal with speech then you have to walk a very narrow path between that which is protected and that which is not. But if they were sincerely concerned about conduct that would be disruptive, they would have drafted a bill aiming at that conduct. But this is a B'nai B'rith bill and there are certain types of things they don't want discussed. There are certain types of people they don't want to assemble. So it is addressed against groups and it is not dealing with conduct that is disruptive. It is not dealing with activity which is prohibited. The Constitution and laws of this state do not forbid teaching. They do not forbid teaching about the kinds of things mentioned in this bill. It becomes a criminal offense as a creation of this bill if somebody in law enforcement attributes a certain motive to you when you do these otherwise completely legal activities. Now, when you are talking about the teacher, the one who does the teaching, and they didn't define that word, teaching probably means imparting information. The one who is doing the imparting is presumed to know more than the one who is being instructed or receiving the information. But that is not always the case because King David of...old King David of Israel said that he is wiser than his instructors, so sometimes it is unclear who the teacher is. But, nevertheless, when you have this relationship of teacher and taught, there is no necessity that the listeners do anything based on the words that are presented. Number two, there need be no likelihood that anybody would do anything based on these words. Number three, there is no requirement that anyone listening have the capability of doing anything in line with what is being taught. You could be teaching a group of infants in preschool and that would bring you under the bill. The bill doesn't say that the people you are teaching have to be able to understand what you are teaching or able to carry it out. This is a ridiculous, silly bill. The fourth one, there is no requirement that what is taught be realistic or feasible. Since you are talking about explosives, you could be teaching people how to build a hydrogen bomb and you don't even have to know how to build one, but you are teaching them to build a hydrogen bomb, intending that this information be used to foment disorder and that is a Class IV felony. That is preposterous and ridiculous. And I am glad that the bill is staying just the way it is. There is no time frame within which anything need occur. So there need never be a civil disorder but they don't even